



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,606	06/26/2001	Kazuyuki Shigeta	35.C15480	8670	
5514 7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/888,606	SHIGETA, KAZUYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer T. Nguyen	2674		

Advisory Action	09/888,606	SHIGETA, KAZUYUKI						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jennifer T. Nguyen	2674						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress	-				
	THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILED	OWT NIHTIW O					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, market	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must banden MENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set fo), to avoid dismissal o orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	of the appeal. a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	•					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a):		·					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	visus polen or appointed.							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-41</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other avidence filed after a final action. In	ut bafara ar an tha data of filing a N	Nation of Association						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of hilling a raid sufficient reasons why the affida •	vit or other evidence i	s necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
		Jennifer T Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "even when the non-dark display is performed for the predetermined time period, the non-dark display cannot be recognized by a viewer." added in independent claims 1, 22, 22, 23, and 33 raise new issues would change the scope of the invention originally claimed and previous prosecuted. Therefore, it would require further consideration.

REGINA LIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER